

## Wireless Mash Networking

**Mr. Zaheer Ahmed**

M Phil Scholar

Department of Computer Science

Mewar University Chittorgarh( RAJ)

**Dr. D.B Ojha**

Professor

Computer Science

Mewar University Chittorgarh ( RAJ )

### ABSTRACT:

A wireless Mash network is a new exciting Technology that is anticipated to resolve the limitation and to significantly improve the performance of Adhoc network, wireless local area network. Wireless Mash Network is a decentralized networking Technology that is currently being adopted to connect peer to peer client and large scale back bound network. It will deliver Wireless Service for a large variety of application in personal local campus and metropolitan area in WMNS, nodes are comprised of Mash router and Mash Client each node operate not only as a host but also as a router, forwarding packets on behalf of other nodes that may not be within direct wireless transmission range of their destination. A WMN is dynamically self organized and self configured. With the node in the network automatically establishing and maintaining mash connectivity among themselves creating in effect, an adhoc network.

Mash network provide a number of application. For Example in difficult environment such as emergency situation, tunnel, oil rigs, betel field surveillance, high speed mobile video application on board public transport or real time racing car telemetry some current application are.

1. USA military Force are Now using wireless Mash networking to connect their computer.
2. Electric meter now being deployed on residence transfer their reading from one to another & eventually to the central office for billing without the need for human meter readers are the need to connect the meter with cable.
3. Broad band home networking.
4. Community and neighborhood networking.
5. Enterprises networking.
6. Metropolitan area network.
7. Transportation system
8. Health and Medical system
9. Building automation.

### AN INTRODUCTION TO WIRELESS NETWORK:

Wireless network refer to any type of computer network that is not connected by cable of any kind. It is a method by which telecommunication network and Enterprise (Business), installation avoid the costly process of introducing cables into a building, or as a connection between a various equipment location. Wireless Telecommunication network are generally implemented and administered using a transmission system called radio wave (Radio wave are a type of electromagnetic radiation with wave length in the electromagnetic spectrum longer than infra red light)

Wireless networking is used to meet many needs. Perhaps the most common use is to connect Laptop user who travel from location to location. Another common use is for Mobile Networks that connect via satellite. A wireless transmission method is a logical choice to network a LAN segment that must frequently change location. The following situation justifies the use of wireless Technology.

1. To span a distance beyond the capabilities of typical cabling,
2. To provide a backup communication link in case of normal network failure.
3. To link portable or temporary work station.

4. To overcome situation where normal cabling is difficult or financially impractical, or to remotely connect user network.

#### LITERATURE SURVEY ON WIRELESS MESH NETWORK:

Wireless Mesh Network have emerged as a promising concept to meet the challenges in next generation network such as providing flexible, adaptive and reconfigurable architecture while offering cost effective solution to the service provider. Unlike traditional wifi network, with each access point connected to the wired network, in WMNS only a subset of the APs are required to be connected to the wired network. APs that are connected to the wired network are called the internet gateway. While the APs that do not have wired connection are called the Mesh router. The MRs are connected to the IGWs using multi hop communication. The IGWs provide access to conventional client and interconnect adhoc, sensor cellular, and other network to the internet.

Wireless Mesh network is a most typical application of Mesh architectures. As various Wireless Network evolve in to the next generation to provide better services, a key Technology, Wireless Mesh Network has emerged recently. Wireless was originally developed for military application but has undergone significant evolution in the past decade. As the cost of the Radio plummeted, single radio product evolved support more radios per Mesh node with the additional radios providing specific function such as client access, backhaul service or scanning radios for high speed handover in mobility application. The Mesh node design also became modular a single box could support multiply radio card each operating at a high frequency.

Wireless Mesh architecture is quite different from a cellular or wireless lane architecture. All node are equal so there is no centralized control and, therefore, each node must participate in networking as well as be a source or sink of traffic. Rather than a single hop to a base, multi hopping amongst nodes is a common capability. All this bring the

promise of great flexibility, particularly when we wish to create a new network, or expand an existing one it is a Mesh with Hierarchy of node type that support both intra as well as extra Mesh Traffic. In other word the overlay routing network also has gateway to other external network such as internet. This type of WMNs include Mesh router forming an infrastructure for the client that connect to them. The Mesh router form a Mesh of self configuring self healing link among themselves. With gateways function Mesh router can be connected to the internet. This approach also referred to as infrastructure Meshing provide backbone for conventional client and enable integration of WMNs with existing wireless network.

#### CHALLENGE INDIFFERENT LAYER

1. Physical layer :- This layer consist of the part which directly concern the air interface, e.g Antenna and transceiver electronic by implication it also include detail design element, such as choice of modulation scheme and transmit power. The most basic concern is how Meshes are formed. In fact there are two way to accomplish this
  - a. PHYSICAL AND LOGICAL MESHES
2. Intra Mesh in this case source and sink of all traffic are within the mesh network thus it follow that there is no need of connection to an external network, such as connection to the internet, control centre, etc. For such intra mesh traffic the mesh may consist entirely of subscriber nodes.
  - a. Extra mesh in this case traffic enters and leaves the mesh via one or more access point which are connected to public or private access network. As with backbone network the traffic follow is no longer evenly distributed throughout the mobile nodes, but it concentrated around node in the vicinity of the access point.
3. Routing is simple the function of knowing which path to take in order to deliver the data from one end to another it must include an addressing scheme and routing protocol

**SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL MASH PIT FALLS TO AVOID :**

Capacity:- as noted in beginning meshes are self-organizing and self-generating. The reason behind this claim was usually along the lines 'each new user brings additional capacities to the mesh' or each new user becomes a base station. Since there is a difference between the user throughput and network capacity, it concludes that user throughput cannot grow as fast as the mesh grows. The simple reason is the relay imposed on each node, due to traffic of other nodes.

**INFRASTRUCTURE:**

Following the finding on capacity, to increase the scale of mesh network such that the performance is maintained, as user increases in number, then the infrastructure must be added.

The purpose of this infrastructure is to separate local traffic from traffic which has a more distant destination, including external to the mesh. In this way the relay load may be limited. The pitfall to avoid is trying to design a pure mesh where one is not strictly dictated by the application. Since one with infrastructure would either be more capable, or would require less complex nodes for similar capability.

**EFFICIENCY:**

Where it is clear that there are efficiency advantages in dividing a single link into two equal hops, as is typical of multi-hopping mesh, it was questioned how often this could be relied upon to happen in a real deployment. It was seen that if the split was not 50:50 then the advantage decreases, and if the hop introduced a kink or dog leg in the path, then the extra path length quickly negates any advantage. Finally it was noted that for the link from node to access point, the optimum path split depended on the power difference of the access point and normal node. In terms of MAC efficiency the basic conclusion was that a mesh typically forces a decentralized

**SUCCESSFUL MESH IMPLEMENTATION:**

Wireless cities: Nowadays several cities have been made wireless to provide internet access e.g. UK London and Bristol were the first countries where wireless internet implementation was practiced. Also New York, Portland and a number of other areas are rapidly coming under this kind of implementation. In each case the aim is to provide easy mobile connection to the internet. This can serve the general public, business for users and city authorities, who may use it for operational purposes including for public service.

Community internet: It may not be cost effective for a remote community to connect to the internet as the density of homes may be too low for an operator to amortize the cost of the necessary backhaul and local distribution. This often occurs in two broad cases specifically in rural communities within the well-developed areas of the world and generally within the less well developed areas of the world. Interest in the latter appears highest.

Lack of infrastructure and ease of setup are the prime mesh properties taken advantage of by community internet schemes. Deployment opportunities are

highest where no suitable alternative exists or is affordable

The desire to introduce intelligent transport systems is high in many countries today including the UK, Japan and Europe. The initial driver for interest in this area was safety, which has been joined by the economy due to the high cost of congestion and the environment. Current work

includes the DOT ten year vision, to 2012, which aims for a 10% reduction in road fatalities.

The use of the vehicle safety consortium of car manufacturers found that four applications which would have the most impact, most likely, on improving safety statistics were as follows

**CONCLUSION:**

The capacity of self-organization in WMNs reduces the complexity of network deployment and maintenance, and thus requires minimal upfront investment. The backbone of WMNs provides a viable solution for users to access the internet anywhere anytime. It can also enhance the reliability of the mobile ad hoc network of mesh clients. WMNs enable the integration of multiple wireless networks. WMNs can be built up based on existing technology. Some companies already have products for sale, while other companies have started to deploy

WMNs in various application scenarios. However, field trials and experiment with existing WMNs prove that the performance of WMNs is still far below what they are expected to be. As explained throughout this dissertation, many open research issue need to be resolve;

Scalability:-based on existing MAC, routing, and transport protocol, the network performance, index by throughput, end to end delay, and fairness, is not scalable with either the number of node or the number of hops in the network.

Self-organization and self-configuration:- self organization and self configuration required all protocol in WMNs to be distributive and collaborative.

Security:-due to wireless adhocarchitecture,WMNs are vulnerable to security attack in various protocol layer

Network integration:- current WMNs have very limited capabilities of intergrating heterogeneous wireless network.Protocol improvement relying on single layer cannot entirely solve all the existing problem all protocol ranging from physical to application layer need to be improve or re invented,and the cross-layer design among these protocols is needed in order to each the optimal performance.

### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY :

Wireless mesh network, recently time due to incredible development of wireless mesh network into the future Gernation to offer enhanced service

The methodology aim at constricting a topology for rulers wireless mesh network.

Mesh network the methodology used in the proposed approach are

A:- an efficient and minimum cost topology construction for rular wireless mesh network

B:-a novel topology constrection for rular wireless mesh network

C:- a topology construction for rulers wireless mesh network with minimum cost energy reduction and self organization Literature review

Mesh network is a new exciting technology.This thesis introduces the contemporary debates on various expects of mesh network. By using literature review we can use A.A ABOUZEED S.RAY stochastic modeling of tcp in network with abrupt delay variations, A, ACHORYA, amisras.bansol high performance architecture for IP based muti HP

802.11 network 125,they introduced mesh networking is a decentralized networking technology that is currently being adopted to connect peer to peer client and large scale backbone network ,it well delivers wireless service for a large verity of application in personal local computer and metropolitan area .the main purpose of bandwidth utilization efficiency is to provide service so that user can get higher data rates and wider coverage, however there is no single network that can provide this kind of service(30) 4G network is expected to integrated las –CDMA-OFDM-CDMA, UWD and network LMD so that the higher data rate and wider coverage can be achieved 31 in this Gera nation ,the user will served by either one of those network as a result an important problem occurred in which in these over loping area most of the network resource in not fully utilized since only one of those network server user.

### REFERENCES:

1. A.A.Abouzeid,S.Roy,"stochastic modeling of tcp in network with abrupt delay variation",2003
2. A.Acharya,A.misra, S.Bansal,"High performance architectures for ip-based multi hop 802.11 networks.pp.22-28-2003
3. .A.Ady,P,Bahl,j.padhya,A.wolman,l.Zhou,"A multi- radio unification protocol for ieee 802.11 wireless networks"2004
4. 4A.K.Saha, D.B.Johnson"self-organizing hierarchical routing for scalable and adhoc networking" august 2005
5. .A.lozano,F.R.Farrokhi,R.A.Valenzuela."liftingthe limit on high speed wireless data access using antenna arrays" pp.156.162.2001
6. .A.Muir. andj.jGarcia.lunaaceves,"A channel Acces protocol for multihop wireless network with multiple channels
7. .A.nasipuri,s.ye, R.E.hiromoto,"A MAC protocol for mobil and adhoc networks using directional antennas"
8. Arbaugh.W.A Shankar N AND wan y.c "your 802.11 wireless network has no clothes"
9. 9B.Fette, SDR technology implementation for the cognitive radio, FCC workshop on cognitive radios,may 2003
- 10.B.Liu, Z.Liu, D.towsley,"on the capacity of hybrid wireless network"
- 11.B.Schrick and M.Riezenman,"wireless broadband in a box" IEEE spectrum , magazine pp.1543-1552,2003
- 12.conti J.P.metrowiFi IET engineering and technology magazine, march 2008
- 13.c.perkines e .belding.royer, s.das "adhoc one demand distance vector (AODV) routing" iETF RFC 3561.july 2003